PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

2 2 MAR 2004

/IPO	PCT

	licant's 799 a	_	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A	CTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
:			International filing date 24.03.2003	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.03.2002	
A6 ⁻	1K31/		ent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification	and IPC		
	licant JISAV	VA D	EUTSCHLAND GMBH	l et al			
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
	The	se an	nexes consist of a total o	f sheets.			·
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items: 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	ı	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion				
	11		Priority				
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to r	ovelty, ir	nventive step a	nd industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of invention				
	V	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement un citations and explanation	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w ons supporting such st	ith regard atement	d to novelty, inv	ventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite	d			
	VII		Certain defects in the ir	nternational application	1		
	VIII		Certain observations or	the international app	lication		
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of	completion of this	s report
22.1	22.10.2003				19.03.	2004	
	Name and mailing address of the international				Authoriz	ed Officer	INDER AL
	preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas			Bonza	no, C		
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				ne No. +31 70 34	40-2202		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03060

I.	Basis	s of	the	re	port
----	-------	------	-----	----	------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages						
	1-3	5	as originally filed					
	Cla	ims, Numbers						
	1-1	4	as originally filed					
	Dra	awings, Sheets						
	1/3-	•	as originally filed					
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the lang u guage in which the in	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authorit ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	y in the				
	The	ese elements were av	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:	:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1	1(b)).				
		the language of pub	olication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (un .3).	ıder				
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, texamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	the				
		contained in the inte	ernational application in written form.					
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.					
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
	☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4.	The	amendments have re	resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03060

5.	. 🗆	This report has been establis been considered to go beyon	hed as	s if (some of) disclosure as	the amendments had not been made, since they have sfiled (Rule 70.2(c)).	
		(Any replacement sheet cont report.)	aining	such amend	lments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this	
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necess	ary:			
111	. No	n-establishment of opinion v	vith re	gard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	The	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-				
	obv	rious), or to be industrially appl	icable	have not bee	en examined in respect of:	
		the entire international applica	ation,			
	×	claims Nos. 1-9,11,12,14				
		because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14, with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				ms Nos. 14, with respect to industrial applicability relate to ire an international preliminary examination (specify):	
	see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	\boxtimes	no international search report	has b	een establish	ned for the said claims Nos. 1-9,11,12 partially	
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:				
	☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.				not comply with the Standard.	
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.	
٧.	Rea citat	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; itations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	State	tement				
	Nove	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	- 1-14	
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	- 1-14	
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	see separate sheet	

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/03060

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/EP03/03060

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. No International Preliminary Examination Report will be given in respect of subject-matter which is not covered by the search report (Rule 66(1)(e) PCT).
- 2. The subject matter of claim 14 concerns a method of treatment of the human/animal body which is considered by this Autorithy to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1 (IV) PCT. Consequently, no International Preliminary Examination Report will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (Article 34(4) (a)(I)PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 3. The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that the present International Preliminary Examination Report expressed as to the novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability refers only to the matter for which an International Search Report has been drawn up (i.e.cancer, psoriasis, arthritis, inflammation).
- 4. Claims 1-9,11,12 relate to the treatment of diseases and to diagnostic applications which are actually not well defined. The use of the definitions "inhibition or reduction of angiogenesis, disease responding to inhibition or reduction of angiogenesis, disease responding to inhibition or reduction of VEGF production; in vitro diagnostic method" in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT.

Although the influence of a compound on the angiogenesis is indisputably a pharmacological effect, it cannot in itself be considered a therapeutic application. There are an undefined number of diseases which might be related to this pharmacological effect. In other terms, it still needs to find a practical application in the form of a defined treatment of a specified pathological condition, this being an essential technical feature, in order to render the claims clear.

Moreover, the claims cover all diseases responding to inhibition or reduction of angiogenesis and all in vitro diagnostic methods, whereas the application provides support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT for only a very limited number of such diseases and diagnostic methods. In the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/03060 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

present case, the claims lack support, and the application lacks disclosure.

- 5. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: DE 196 24 659 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 8 January 1998 (1998-01-08)
 - D2: DE 197 56 235 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 1 July 1999 (1999-07-01)
 - D3: DE 196 24 704 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 8 January 1998 (1998-01-08)
 - D4: DE 197 56 212 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 1 July 1999 (1999-07-01)
 - D5: DE 197 56 236 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 1 July 1999 (1999-07-01)
 - D6: US-A-4 778 796 (UNO HITOSHI ET AL) 18 October 1988 (1988-10-18)
 - D7: DE 197 56 261 A (KLINGE CO CHEM PHARM FAB) 1 July 1999 (1999-07-01)
 - D8: NIE DAOTAI ET AL: 'Eicosanoid regulation of angiogenesis: Role of endothelial arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase.' BLOOD, vol. 95, no. 7, 1 April 2000 (2000-04-01), pages 2304-2311, XP002208812 ISSN: 0006-4971
 - D9: COLAVITTI RENATA ET AL: 'Reactive oxygen species as downstream mediators of angiogenic signaling by vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2/KDR.' JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 277, no. 5, February 2002 (2002-02), pages 3101-3108, XP001074657 February, 2002 ISSN: 0021-9258
 - D10: NISHIKAWA ET AL: 'Acrylamide derivatives as antiallergic agents. 2. Synthesis and structure activity relationships of N-[4-[4-(diphenylmethyl)-1-piperazinyl]butyl]-3-(3-pyridyl)acrylamide s' JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. WASHINGTON, US, vol. 32, no. 3, 1989, pages 583-593, XP002101517 ISSN: 0022-2623

Unless otherwise specified, reference is made to the passages cited in the search report.

6. For the assessment of the present claims 1-14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/03060 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject- matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Novelty

7. The documents D1-D4 disclose the use of the compounds corresponding to formula I, in particular mentioned in claim 7 (D1-D3), for treating psoriasis, tumours, lymphoms. They are also defined as being cytostatics and cancerostatics (see D1: page 77, lines 20-48; page 64, examples 150,153,158,159,162; page 2, lines 1-26; page 77, line 51-page 78, line 32; D2: page 33, examples 82,120; page 74, line 40-page 75, line 41; D3: page 78, line 1 - page 79, line 7). Document D6 relates to compounds as claimed in claim 1, similar to the compounds of claim 8 for treating arthritis and inflammation (see D6: page 2, line 21-50; page 38).

Document D5 discloses compounds of formula I, having a structure similar to the compounds claimed in claim 8, for treating psoriasis, autoimmune diseases and tumors.

The mere explanation of an effect obtained when using a compound in a known process, even if the explanation relates to a pharmaceutical effect which was not known for that compound, cannot confer novelty to said process. In the present case, the newly discovered technical effect of reducing angiogenesis does not confer novelty on the claims directed to the use of a known compound corresponding to formula I for a known purpose (treatment of cancer, psoriasis, arthritis, inflammation). No novelty exists, if the claim is directed to the use of a known compound for a known purpose, even if a newly discovered technical effect (the reduction of angiogenesis) underlying said known use is indicated in that claim. The subject-matter of claims 1-14 is therefore not new and does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

- 8.1 The present claimed subject matter, as far as novel, appears to be obvious over the above mentioned documents.
- 8.2 Document D8, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, reports that angiogenesis is mediated by the activity of lipoxygenase: it suggests

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP03/03060 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

the role of lipoxygenase inhibitors for treating angiogenesis mediated diseases. Moreover it is pointed out that a lipoxygenase inhibitor can counteract the effects of VEGF (see D8: page 2310, column 2, paragraph 4; column 1, paragraph 1).

The present application differs in that the lipoxygenase inhibitors used with the specific purpose of inhibiting angiogenesis are the compounds of formula I.

The problem to be solved with respect to D8 is therefore to find an alternative lipoxygenase inhibitor for treating angiogenesis mediated diseases.

Documents D7 and D10 describe the activity of the claimed compounds as lipoxygenase inhibitors. In particular D10 discloses the compounds mentioned in claim 8. (see D7: column 24, example 85, 91; column 1, lines 7-37; D10: page 588, compounds 1a,21; page 583, column 1).

It is common knowledge for the man skilled in the art that VEGF is the major angiogenic factor produced by tumor cells (see D9: page 3101, column: 2, paragraph 3).

Therefore, being aware that lipoxygenase inhibitors are useful for inhibiting angiogenesis and for reducing the effects of VEGF, and knowing that the compounds of formula 1 are lipoxygenase inhibitors, the person skilled in the art would have been inevitably led to use the pyridine derivatives of formula 1, and in particular of claim 8 of the present invention for reducing angiogenesis. Due to their well known lipoxygenase inhibitor activity, the skilled person would have expected for the compounds of formula 1 the same effect on angiogenesis as the lipoxygenase inhibitors described in D8.

Moreover, document D8 discloses that inflammation, tumor, retinopathy are pathologic conditions related to angiogenesis; and D9 points out the relation between angiogenesis and the production of VEGF.

This teaching would strengthen the motivation of the person skilled in the art, to use the same compounds, counteracting the effects of VEGF and known for treating inflammations and tumors, also for reducing angiogenesis.

3.3 Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-14 does not involve an inventive step and does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Articles 33(3) PCT. Moreover, only examples concerning the treatment of cancer are given.